

Two Remarks on Primary Spaces

Paul F. X . Müller *

October 18th, 2009.

Abstract

We prove that for any operator T on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$, the identity factors through T or $\text{Id} - T$.

We re-prove analogous results of H.M. Wark for the spaces $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$, $1 < p < \infty$. In the present paper direct combinatorics of colored dyadic intervals replaces the dependence on Szemerédi's theorem in [8].

MSC 2000: 46B25, 46E40

Key words: Primary Spaces, Factorization of Operators, Haar System.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Notation and Preliminaries	3
3	Factorization through Operators on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$.	5
4	Factorization through Operators on $\ell^\infty(L^p)$, $1 < p < \infty$.	8

*Partly supported by FWF -project P 20166-N18

1 Introduction

Consider $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$, consisting of bounded $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ -valued sequences, and let T be a continuous linear operator acting on that space. The identity on $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$, factors then through T or $\text{Id} - T$. This assertion holds true for the range $1 < p \leq \infty$ and also when $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ is replaced by the dual space $H^1(\mathbb{T})^*$. See J. Bourgain [2], H.M. Wark [8] and [6, 5]. As a consequence for each $1 < p \leq \infty$ the space $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$, is primary. When $p = \infty$ this class of spaces arises when the Pelczynski decomposition method is applied to H^∞ or its complemented subspaces.

The conceptual framework for proving these results was introduced by J. Bourgain [2]. It consists of two basic steps and aims at replacing the factorization problem on $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$ by its localized, finite dimensional counterpart. The first step is to show that general operators on $\ell^\infty(H^p)$, can be replaced by diagonal operators on

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n^p(\mathbb{T}) \right)_\infty.$$

The second (and often harder) part of the argument is to verify the following property for operators acting on finite dimensional spaces. To each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 < p \leq \infty$, there exists $N = N(n, p)$ so that for any linear operator on $H_N^p(\mathbb{T})$, the identity on $H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ can be well-factored through T or through $\text{Id} - T$. That is,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n^p & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & H_n^p \\ E \downarrow & & \uparrow P \\ H_N^p & \xrightarrow{H} & H_N^p \end{array} \quad (1.1)$$

where $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id} - T$ and $\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq 16$.

The methods yielding (1.1) fall roughly into two classes. The first one works is by *restriction*: One selects a large *subset* of a basis in $H_N^p(\mathbb{T})$ on which the operator acts as a multiplier. The second method uses averaging or *blocking*: One attempts to find *block bases* forming a set of approximate eigenvectors. The three cases $p = \infty$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})^*$, were treated by separate techniques as summarized below:

1. The case $p = \infty$. By harmonic analysis J. Bourgain [2] proves that there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an arithmetic progression Λ of length n in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ so that the restriction of T to the exponentials $e_j(t) = e^{ijt}$ satisfies

$$T(e_j) = \lambda e_j + \text{small } L^\infty \text{ error, } j \in \Lambda.$$

2. The case $1 < p < \infty$. As an application of Szemerédi's theorem [7], H.M. Wark [8] obtains $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an arithmetic progression Λ of length n in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ so that the restriction of T to the exponentials $e_j(t) = e^{ijt}$ satisfies similarly $T(e_j) \sim \lambda e_j$ with $j \in \Lambda$. Here the error is small in L^p .

The L^p boundedness of the Hilbert transform [12] allows us to replace in (1.1) the spaces of analytic polynomials $H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ respectively $H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ finite dimensional Minkowski spaces ℓ_m^p of the corresponding dimensions. Now apply J. Bourgain's and L. Tzafriri's results on restricted invertibility. To any linear operator $T : \ell_m^p \rightarrow \ell_m^p$ there exist [3] random subsets σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ of cardinality m/C so that the restriction of T to the corresponding ℓ_m^p -unit vectors $\{x_j, j \in \sigma\}$ satisfies

$$T(x_j) = \lambda_j x_j + \text{small } \ell_m^p \text{ error, } j \in \sigma.$$

3. The case of $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})^*$. By Bochkarev's theorem [1, Section 4], the spaces $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})^*$ admit an unconditional basis that is equivalent to the Haar basis in dyadic BMO_n . Exploiting this connection [5], yields a block basis $\{b_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ in $H_N^1(\mathbb{T})^*$, well-equivalent to the Bochkarev basis in $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})^*$ on which the given operator $T : H_N^1(\mathbb{T})^* \rightarrow H_N^1(\mathbb{T})^*$ acts as

$$T(b_J) = \lambda_J b_J + \text{small BMO error}, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}_n.$$

In this note we adapt the blocking of the Haar basis to the spaces $\ell^\infty(H^p(\mathbb{T}))$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. First we settle the case of $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$, left open in [8]. After the reduction to diagonal operators, this is obtained with Bochkarev's theorem [1, Section 4], and reduction to [5, Theorem 2]. Second, we re-prove results of H. M. Wark [8]. We find our block bases directly, working with the Haar system; thus we provide an alternative to the method based on Szemerédi's theorem, and also to the restricted invertibility methods.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

The Haar System. We let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the collection of (half-open) dyadic intervals contained in the unit interval

$$[(k-1)2^{-n}, k2^{-n}[, \quad 1 \leq k \leq 2^n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ write $\mathcal{D}_n = \{I \in \mathcal{D} : |I| \geq 2^{-n}\}$. Denote by $\{h_I : I \in \mathcal{D}\}$ the L^∞ -normalized Haar system, where h_I is supported on I and

$$h_I = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on the left half of } I; \\ -1 & \text{on the right half of } I. \end{cases}$$

The Haar system is a Schauder basis in L^p , ($1 \leq p < \infty$) and an unconditional Schauder basis when $1 < p < \infty$. For $f \in L^p$ define its dyadic square function as

$$S(f) = \left(\sum_{I \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f, \frac{h_I}{|I|} \rangle^2 1_I \right)^{1/2}.$$

Then, $c_p \|f\|_{L^p} \leq \|S(f)\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p}$, where $C_p \sim p^2/(p-1)$ and $c_p = C_p^{-1}$; see e.g., [6, Chapter 1].

To $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by L_n^p the linear span of $\{h_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$, equipped with the norm in L^p .

H^p spaces. Dyadic H^1 is defined by the relation $f \in H^1$ if $S(f) \in L^1$ and its norm is

$$\|f\|_{H^1} = \|S(f)\|_{L^1}.$$

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $H_n^1()$ the subspace of H^1 that is spanned by $\{h_I : |I| \geq 2^{-n}\}$ endowed with the norm in H^1 .

Let $\mathbb{T} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]\}$. For $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ denote the norm closure in $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ of span $\{e^{in\theta} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. The space $H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is the weak- $*$ closure in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ of span $\{e^{in\theta} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. As a consequence of the L^p boundedness of the Hilbert transform [12] the spaces $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ and $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ are isomorphic. By B. Maurey's theorem [4] the spaces $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ and dyadic H^1 are isomorphic.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we denote by $H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ the subspace of $H^p(\mathbb{T})$ that is spanned by the polynomials $\{e^{i\theta}, \dots, e^{i(2^{n+1}-1)\theta}\}$. The norm on $H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ is the one induced by $H^p(\mathbb{T})$.

The Theorem of Bochkarev. The trigonometric system does not form a Schauder basis in $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})$ with basis constant independent of n , see [12]. Nevertheless the theorem of S.V. Bochkarev [1] asserts that the spaces $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})$ admit an unconditional basis well equivalent to the Haar basis in $H_n^1()$. That is, there exists a system $\{s_I \in H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) : |I| \geq 2^{-n}\}$ of functions so that the linear extension of the operator

$$J : H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_n^1(), s_I \rightarrow h_I$$

is bijective and defines an isomorphism with norm (and norm of the inverse) bounded independent of n . Thus

$$\|J : H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_n^1()\| \cdot \|J^{-1} : H_n^1() \rightarrow H_n^1(\mathbb{T})\| \leq C.$$

Diagonal operators. Let $Z_p = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_n^p(\mathbb{T}))_{\infty}$. We say that $D : Z_p \rightarrow Z_p$ is a diagonal operator if there exists a sequence of operators $T_n : H_n^p(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_n^p(\mathbb{T})$ so that

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots) = (T_1(x_1), \dots, T_n(x_n), \dots)$$

Dyadic Trees. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that $\{E_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$ is a dyadic tree of sets if, the following conditions hold for every $I \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1}$. If I_1 is the left half of I and $I_2 = I \setminus I_1$ then

$$E_{I_1} \cup E_{I_2} \subseteq E_I \quad \text{and} \quad E_{I_1} \cap E_{I_2} = \emptyset. \quad (2.1)$$

Lower ℓ^2 estimates. [6, Chapter 5] Let $y_i \in H^1$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and suppose that the sequence $\{y_i\}$ is disjointly supported over the Haar system. Then

$$\left(\sum a_i^2 \|y_i\|_{H^1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 4 \left\| \sum a_i^2 y_i \right\|_{H^1}. \quad (2.2)$$

The Carleson Constant. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{E_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$ a dyadic tree. The Carleson constant of $\mathcal{E}$ is defined as

$$\llbracket \mathcal{E} \rrbracket = \sup_{E_I \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{|E_I|} \sum_{E_J \in \mathcal{E}, E_J \subseteq E_I} |E_J|.$$

Projections and Large Carleson Constants. See [6, Chapter 1, 3]. Fix $N \gg m$, and let $\mathcal{E}_I \subseteq \mathcal{D}_N$, for $I \in \mathcal{D}_m$ be pairwise disjoint collections consisting of pairwise disjoint dyadic intervals, so that

$$E_I = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{E}_I} J \quad \text{and} \quad b_I = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{E}_I} h_J$$

satisfy the following conditions:

1. The collection $\{E_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$ is a dyadic tree satisfying

$$\frac{|I|}{2} \leq |E_I|/|E_{[0,1]}| \leq |I|. \quad (2.3)$$

2. For each $I \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1}$,

$$E_{I_1} \subseteq \{t : b_I(t) = +1\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{I_2} \subseteq \{t : b_I(t) = -1\}, \quad (2.4)$$

where I_1 is the left half of I and $I_2 = I \setminus I_1$.

Then [6, Chapter 1] the orthogonal projection

$$Q(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_m} \langle f, \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2} \rangle \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2}, \quad b_J = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}_J} h_I, \quad (2.5)$$

is a bounded operator on L^p and on H^1 with norm $\|Q\|_p \leq C_p$, respectively $\|Q\|_{H^1} \leq C$.

Let next $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \{E_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$. and

$$Y_p = (\text{span } \{b_I : I \in \mathcal{L}\}, \| \cdot \|_p).$$

By the condensation lemma in combination with the Gamlen-Gaudet theorem the following implication holds true, see [6, Chapter 3]. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is such that $|\mathcal{L}| \geq n2^n$, then there exist linear operators $E : L_n^p \rightarrow Y_p$ and $R : Y_p \rightarrow L_n^p$ so that

$$\text{Id}_{L_n^p} = R \text{Id}_{Y_p} I \quad \text{with} \quad \|R\|_p \cdot \|E\|_p \leq C_p. \quad (2.6)$$

3 Factorization through Operators on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$.

In this section we prove that for any operator T on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ the identity factors through T or $\text{Id} - T$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let T be a bounded operator on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. Then there exist an embedding E and a projection P so that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T})) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T})) \\ E \downarrow & & \uparrow P \\ \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T})) & \xrightarrow{H} & \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T})) \end{array}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id} - T$ and $\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C$.

Infer from Maurey's theorem [4] that $H^1(\mathbb{T})$ can be replaced by dyadic H^1 , and from Wojtaszczyk's theorem [10, 11] that $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ is isomorphic to $(\sum H_n^1())_\infty$.

First we give the reduction of Theorem 3.1 to diagonal operators on

$$X = (\sum H_n^1())_\infty.$$

Theorem 3.2 *Let T be a bounded operator on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. Then there exist an embedding $E : \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T})) \rightarrow X$, a projection $P : X \rightarrow \ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ and a diagonal operator $D : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying $\|D\| \leq C$ and*

$$D = PTE \quad \text{and} \quad (!) \quad \text{Id}_X - D = P (\text{Id}_{\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))} - T) E,$$

where $\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C$.

It is well known that the diagonal operator D together with its embedding E and projection P can be constructed in straightforward manner from the assertions of the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3 *To $\epsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N = N(\epsilon, n)$ with the following property: To each n -dimensional subspace $E \subseteq H_N^1$ there exist pairwise disjoint collections of dyadic intervals $\{\mathcal{B}_J : J \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ so that*

1. *The sets $B_J = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{B}_J} I$ form a dyadic tree satisfying $|J|/2 \leq |B_J|/|B_{[0,1]}| \leq |J|$.*
2. *The orthogonal projection*

$$Q(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_m} \left\langle f, \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2} \right\rangle \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2}, \quad b_J = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}_J} h_I,$$

satisfies $\|Q\| \leq 4$.

3. *For each $x \in E$,*

$$\|Q(x)\|_{H^1} \leq \epsilon \|x\|_{H^1}.$$

PROOF. Let $\eta > 0$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_M\}$ be an η -net in $\{x \in E : \|x\|_{H^1} = 1\}$. This can be done with $M \leq \exp(2n/\eta)$.

Fix $\tau = \tau(\epsilon, n) > 0$ and put $\mathcal{L}_i = \{J \in \mathcal{D}_N : |\langle x, h_J \rangle| \geq \tau\}$. Apply (2.2) to obtain an upper estimate for the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}_i$.

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{-1} \|x_i\| &\geq \left\| \sum_{J \in \mathcal{L}_i} |J|^{-1} h_J \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\geq c |\mathcal{L}_i|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $c > 0$. Hence the union $\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i=1}^M \mathcal{L}_i$ is of cardinality $\leq Cn/\tau^2$. Put $\mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{D}_N \setminus \mathcal{L}$. Its Carleson constant is bounded from below as

$$[\mathcal{G}_0] \geq N - \ln(cn\tau^{-2}).$$

In the next steps we select a subcollection $\mathcal{G}_M \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$ with the following two properties

1. $[\mathcal{G}_M] \geq [\mathcal{G}_0](\tau^{2M}c)$
2. For $i \leq M$,

$$\int \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}_M} \left\langle x_i, \frac{h_J}{|J|} \right\rangle^2 1_J \right)^{1/2} \leq C\tau \|x_i\|_{H^1}.$$

The construction of $\mathcal{G}_M$ is done inductively over M steps. In the first step we fix x_1 and let $\{J_k : 1 \leq k \leq N_0\}$ with $N_0 \leq 2^{N-1}$ be an enumeration of the intervals in $\mathcal{G}_0$. Define inductively a stopping time sequence $n_0, \dots, n_L \leq N_0$ as follows. Put $n_0 = 0$ and

$$n_1 = \min\{n \leq N_0 : \int \left(\sum_{k=n_0}^n \left\langle x_1, \frac{h_{J_k}}{|J_k|} \right\rangle^2 1_{J_k} \right)^{1/2} \leq \tau \|x_1\|_{H^1}\}.$$

Assume that $n_0, n_1, \dots, n_\ell$ have been determined and that $n_\ell < \infty$. Then define

$$n_{\ell+1} = \min\{n \leq N_0 : \int \left(\sum_{k=n_\ell+1}^n \left\langle x_1, \frac{h_{J_k}}{|J_k|} \right\rangle^2 1_{J_k} \right)^{1/2} \leq \tau \|x_1\|_{H^1}\}.$$

Finally define

$$L = \sup\{\ell : n_\ell < \infty\}.$$

We use again (2.2). Split x_1 along the Haar basis as $x_{1,\ell} = \sum_{k=n_\ell+1}^{n_{\ell+1}} \langle x_1, \frac{h_{J_k}}{|J_k|} \rangle h_{J_k}$. Note that $x_{1,\ell}$ are disjointly supported over the Haar system so that the lower ℓ^2 estimate (2.2) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1\|_{H^1} &\geq \left\| \sum_{\ell=1}^L x_{1,\ell} \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\geq \tau \|x_1\|_{H^1} L^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $L \leq C\tau^{-2}$. Define next the partition of $\mathcal{G}_0$ as $\mathcal{G}_0 = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^L \mathcal{G}_{0,\ell}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{0,\ell} = \bigcup_{k=n_\ell+1}^{n_{\ell+1}} \{J_k\}$. Consequently there exists $\ell_0 \leq L$ so that $[\mathcal{G}_{0,\ell_0}] \geq [\mathcal{G}_0]/L$. Put $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}_{0,\ell_0}$. Summing up the first step, we obtained $\mathcal{G}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$ so that

$$[\mathcal{G}_1] \geq c\tau^2 [\mathcal{G}_0],$$

and

$$\int \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}_1} \langle x_1, \frac{h_J}{|J|} \rangle^2 1_J \right)^{1/2} \leq C\tau \|x_1\|_{H^1}.$$

This completes the first step. In the second step we repeat the argument of the first step with x_1 replaced by x_2 and $\mathcal{G}_0$ replaced by $\mathcal{G}_1$. This implies the existence of $\mathcal{G}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1$ so that

$$[\mathcal{G}_2] \geq c\tau^2 [\mathcal{G}_1],$$

and

$$\int \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}_2} \langle x_i, \frac{h_J}{|J|} \rangle^2 1_J \right)^{1/2} \leq C\tau \|x_i\|_{H^1} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Iterating M -times we obtain a decreasing chain of collections $\mathcal{G}_M \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{G}_0$, so that

$$[\mathcal{G}_M] \geq c\tau^{2M} [\mathcal{G}_0],$$

and

$$\int \left(\sum_{J \in \mathcal{G}_M} \langle x_i, \frac{h_J}{|J|} \rangle^2 1_J \right)^{1/2} \leq C\tau \|x_i\|_{H^1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq M.$$

We specify now τ, η so that $(\tau + \eta) < \epsilon 2^{-n} (\log n)^{-1}$. Consequently there exists $N = N(\epsilon, n)$ so that

$$[\mathcal{G}_M] \geq c\tau^{2M} \cdot N \geq 4^n.$$

Next we apply the condensation lemma and the Gamlen-Gaudet selection process [6, Chapter 3] to $\mathcal{G}_M$.

This implies that there exist $\{B_J \subseteq \mathcal{G}_M : J \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ so that $B_J = \bigcup_{I \in B_J} I$ and $b_J = \sum_{I \in B_J} h_I$ satisfy the following conditions:

1. $\{B_J : J \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ is a dyadic tree and

$$|J|/2 \leq |B_J|/|B_{[0,1[}| \leq |J|.$$

2. $B_{J_1} \subseteq \{t : h_I(t) = +1\}$ and $B_{J_2} \subseteq \{t : h_I(t) = -1\}$, where J_1 is the left half of J and $J_2 = J \setminus J_1$.

Next we claim that moreover

$$|\langle x, b_J \rangle| \leq (\tau + \eta) \|x\|_{H^1} \quad x \in E$$

To see this fix $x \in E$ with $\|x\|_{H^1} = 1$. Choose $x_i \in \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ so that $\|x - x_i\|_{H^1} < \eta$, and estimate using the defining properties of $\mathcal{G}_M$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, b_J \rangle| &= |\langle x - x_i, b_J \rangle| + |\langle x_i, b_J \rangle| \\ &\leq (\eta + \tau). \end{aligned}$$

It remains to verify that $\|Q(x)\|_{H^1} \leq \epsilon$ for $x \in E$. Using the above coefficient estimate and triangle inequality we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q(x)\|_{H^1} &\leq (\tau + \eta) \left\| \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_n} b_J / \|b_J\|_2^2 \right\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq (\tau + \eta) 2^n \ln(n). \end{aligned}$$

■

We have now reduced the problem of factoring the identity on $\ell^\infty(H^1(\mathbb{T}))$ to its finite dimensional counterpart. The next step in the proof is factorization of the identity on $H_N^1(\mathbb{T})$. The following theorem settles a point left open in [8].

Theorem 3.4 *For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N = N(n)$ such that for any linear operator $T : H_N^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_N^1(\mathbb{T})$ the identity on $H_n^1(\mathbb{T})$ factors through $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id}_{H_N^1(\mathbb{T})} - T$, as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) \\ E \downarrow & & \uparrow P \\ H_N^1(\mathbb{T}) & \xrightarrow{H} & H_N^1(\mathbb{T}) \end{array}$$

where $E : H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_n^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $P : H_n^1(\mathbb{T}) \rightarrow H_n^1(\mathbb{T})$ are bounded linear operators satisfying

$$\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C.$$

PROOF. Apply the theorem of S.V. Bochkarev [1, Section 4], and dualize [5, Theorem 2].

■

4 Factorization through Operators on $\ell^\infty(L^p)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

In this section we re-prove the theorem of H.M. Wark [8] that for any bounded operator T on $\ell^\infty(L^p)$ the identity factors through T or $\text{Id} - T$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let T be a bounded operator on $\ell^\infty(L^p(\mathbb{T}))$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$. Then there exist an embedding E and a projection P so that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ell^\infty(L^p(\mathbb{T})) & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & \ell^\infty(L^p(\mathbb{T})) \\ E \downarrow & & \uparrow P \\ \ell^\infty(L^p(\mathbb{T})) & \xrightarrow{H} & \ell^\infty(L^p(\mathbb{T})) \end{array}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id} - T$ and $\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C_p$.

It is well known that Theorem 4.1 follows from the next theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *For any $1 < p < \infty$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N = N(n, p)$ so that for any linear operator $T : L_N^p \rightarrow L_N^p$ the identity on L_N^p factors through $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id}_{L_N^p} - T$, as*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n^p & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}} & L_n^p \\ E \downarrow & & \uparrow P \\ L_N^p & \xrightarrow{H} & L_N^p \end{array}$$

where $E : L_n^p \rightarrow L_N^p$ and $P : L_N^p \rightarrow L_n^p$ are bounded linear operators satisfying $\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C_p$.

We obtain the finite dimensional factorization of Theorem 4.2 by exhibiting a block-basis of the Haar system on which T acts as a multiplier. We use combinatorics of colored dyadic intervals as in [6]. (For an alternative derivation see the appendix.) The desired block bases are constructed below.

Theorem 4.3 *For every $1 < p < \infty$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N = N(m, p)$ so that the following holds true: To every linear operator $H : L_N^p \rightarrow L_N^p$ bounded by $\|H\| \leq 1$ there exist pairwise disjoint collections*

$$\mathcal{E}_I \subseteq \mathcal{D}_N, \quad I \in \mathcal{D}_m,$$

consisting of pairwise disjoint intervals so that

$$E_I = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{E}_I} J \quad \text{and} \quad b_I = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{E}_I} h_J, \quad (4.2)$$

satisfy the following conditions:

1. The collection $\{E_I : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$ is a dyadic tree satisfying

$$\frac{|I|}{2} \leq |E_I| \leq |I|. \quad (4.3)$$

2. For each $I \in \mathcal{D}_{m-1}$,

$$E_{I_1} \subseteq \{t : b_I(t) = +1\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_{I_2} \subseteq \{t : b_I(t) = -1\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where I_1 is the left half of I and $I_2 = I \setminus I_1$.

3. For each $I \in \mathcal{D}_m$,

$$\sum_{\{J \in \mathcal{D}_m : J \neq I\}} |\langle Hb_J, b_I \rangle| \leq |I|^4. \quad (4.5)$$

The following Lemma 4.4 is the main component in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Fix $1 < p < \infty$, $I \in \mathcal{D}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $x \in L^p$, $y \in L^q$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$ so that

$$\|x\|_p \leq |I|^{1/p} \quad \text{and} \quad \|y\|_q \leq |I|^{1/q}. \quad (4.6)$$

Define $\mathcal{B}$ to be the collection of dyadic intervals for which the Haar coefficients of x resectively y are above the critical threshold $|J|/k$, thus

$$\mathcal{B} = \{J \in \mathcal{Q}(I) : |\langle x, h_J \rangle| + |\langle y, h_J \rangle| > |J|/k\}.$$

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ and put*

$$A_p = (k^2 \ell^2)(C_p + C_q) + 1.$$

There exists $1 \leq j \leq A_p$ so that the collection $\mathcal{B}_j = \{J \in \mathcal{B} : |J| = 2^{-j}|I|\}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}_j} |J| \leq \frac{|I|}{\ell}$$

PROOF. Assume that the lemma is false. That is, for each $j \in A_p$ we have

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}_j} |J| > |I|/\ell. \quad (4.7)$$

For $J \in \mathcal{B}_j$ there holds $|\langle x, h_J \rangle| + |\langle y, h_J \rangle| > |J|/k$. Hence by summing the lower estimate (4.7) we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^{A_p} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}_j} |\langle x, h_J \rangle| + |\langle y, h_J \rangle| \geq A_p \frac{|I|}{k\ell}. \quad (4.8)$$

On the other hand it follows from the unconditionality of the Haar system in L^p , $1 < p < \infty$ that for any choice of $\alpha_J \in [-1, +1]$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{A_p} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}_j} \alpha_J h_J \right\|_{L^p} \leq C_p \sqrt{A_p} |I|^{1/p}, \quad (4.9)$$

Invoking the assumptions that $\|x\|_p \leq |I|^{1/p}$ and $\|y\|_q \leq |I|^{1/q}$, the unconditionality of the Haar system (4.9) yields the following upper estimate for the Haar coefficients competing with (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{A_p} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{B}_j} |\langle x, h_J \rangle| + |\langle y, h_J \rangle| &\leq C_q \sqrt{A_p} |I|^{1/p+1/q} + C_p \sqrt{A_p} |I|^{1/q+1/p} \\ &\leq (C_q + C_p) \sqrt{A_p} |I|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

Comparison of (4.8) with (4.10) gives an upper estimate for A_p as follows,

$$A_p \frac{|I|}{k\ell} \leq (C_q + C_p) \sqrt{A_p} |I|.$$

By cancellation and arithmetic we get $A_p \leq \ell^2 k^2 (C_q + C_p)^2$, contradicting the initial choice of A_p . ■

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Put $b_1 = h_{[0,1]}$, and $\mathcal{E}_1 = \{[0, 1]\}$. At stage i we are given pairwise disjoint collections of dyadic intervals in $\mathcal{D}_N$, $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_i$ and functions $b_1, \dots, b_N$ so that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |\langle H b_j, b_i \rangle| + |\langle H^* b_j, b_i \rangle| \leq \|b\|_2^2 4^{-i}. \quad (4.11)$$

Fix $\mathcal{E}_i$ and $J \in Q(\mathcal{E}_i)$. Put

$$g(J) = \sum_{\{j:j \leq i\}} |\langle Hb_j, h_J \rangle| + |\langle H^*b_j, h_J \rangle|$$

and define the collections of good intervals as follows

$$\mathcal{G} = \{J \in Q(\mathcal{E}_i) : g(J) \leq |J|4^{-i-1}\}.$$

By Lemma 4.4 there exists

$$\mu \leq 2^{(C_p+C_q)^2(i+1)}, \quad (4.12)$$

so that the collection of dyadic intervals

$$\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{E}_i} \{J \in \mathcal{G} : J \subseteq I, |J| = 2^{-\mu}|I|\},$$

satisfies the lower estimate

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{C}} |J| \geq (1 - 8^{-i}) \sum_{J \in \mathcal{E}_i} |J|. \quad (4.13)$$

Next we define the collection of dyadic intervals $\mathcal{E}_{i+1}$ and the associated function b_{i+1} using to the well known Gamlen Gaudet construction. In case i is even we put

$$\mathcal{E}_{i+1} = \left\{ I \in \mathcal{C} : I \subseteq \{t : b_{\frac{i}{2}(t)} = -1\} \right\}, \quad b_{i+1} = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{E}_{i+1}} h_J.$$

Similarly if i is odd, we put

$$\mathcal{E}_{i+1} = \left\{ I \in \mathcal{C} : I \subseteq \{t : b_{\frac{i-1}{2}(t)} = +1\} \right\}.$$

Since $\mathcal{E}_{i+1} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ our construction so far, implies that b_{i+1} satisfies the almost orthogonality relation

$$\sum_{j=1}^i |\langle Hb_j, b_{i+1} \rangle| + |\langle H^*b_j, b_{i+1} \rangle| \leq \|b_{i+1}\|_2^2 4^{-i-1}. \quad (4.14)$$

In view of (4.11) and (4.14) we may now repeat the induction step. Taking into account the (cummulative effect of) the lower estimate (4.13) and (4.12) it is easy to see that we may choose $N = N(\epsilon, n)$ large enough so that we may continue iterating until we reach step $2^{m+1} - 1$. The system $\{b_1, \dots, b_{2^{m+1}-1}\}$ satisfies then the full set of almost orthogonality relations

$$\sum_{\{j:j \neq i\}} |\langle Hb_j, b_i \rangle| \leq \|b_i\|_2^2 4^{-i}, \quad i \leq 2^{m+1} - 1.$$

It remains to relabel the collections $\{\mathcal{E}_i\}$ and functions $\{b_i\}$ appropriately. Since $\mu \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^\mu$ define uniquely

$$j = 2^\mu + k - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad J = \left[\frac{k-1}{2^\mu}, \frac{k}{2^\mu} \right],$$

we obtain a canonical bijection between $\mathcal{D}_m$ and $\{1, \dots, 2^{m+1}-1\}$. We relabel accordingly using this correspondence,

$$\mathcal{E}_J = \mathcal{E}_j, \quad b_J = b_j.$$

As a result of the Gamlen Gaudet construction the sets

$$E_J = \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{E}_J} J, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}_m,$$

form a dyadic tree, and the conditions (4.13) translate into a measure estimate

$$|J|/2 \leq |E_J| \leq |J|, \quad J \in \mathcal{D}_m.$$

By (2.3)—(2.5), the orthogonal projection

$$Q(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_m} \langle f, \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2} \rangle \frac{b_J}{\|b_J\|_2}, \quad b_J = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{B}_J} h_I$$

is bounded operator on L^p with norm $\|Q\|_p \leq C_p$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.2. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put $m = C_p n 2^n$. Determine $N = N(m, p)$ so that the conclusion of Theorem 4.3 holds true. Accordingly we may select pairwise disjoint collections $\{\mathcal{E}_I \subseteq \mathcal{D}_N : I \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$ consisting of pairwise disjoint intervals so that conditions (4.2)–(4.5) hold true.

Next define the collections

$$\mathcal{L} = \{E_I : |\langle T b_I, b_I \rangle| \geq \|b_I\|_2^2/2\}$$

and $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{L} \setminus \{E_J : J \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$. Clearly, then $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R} = \{E_J : J \in \mathcal{D}_m\}$, and hence, one of these collections satisfies a lower estimate for its Carleson Constant,

$$\llbracket \mathcal{L} \rrbracket \geq m/2 \quad \text{or} \quad \llbracket \mathcal{R} \rrbracket \geq m/2.$$

We continue under the assumption that the first alternative holds and remark that otherwise we would replace T by $\text{Id} - T$ and continue with the argument given below. Define, then, the space

$$Y_p = (\text{span } \{b_I : I \in \mathcal{L}\}, \|\cdot\|_p).$$

Since $\llbracket \mathcal{L} \rrbracket \geq n 2^n/2$, (2.3)–(2.6) imply that there exist linear operators

$$I : L_n^p \rightarrow Y_p \quad \text{and} \quad R : Y_p \rightarrow L_n^p$$

so that $\text{Id}_{L_n^p} = R \text{Id}_{Y_p} I$ and $\|R\|_p \cdot \|I\|_p \leq C_p$. On L_n^p define the operator

$$P(f) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}_m} \langle f, b_J \rangle b_J \langle T b_J, b_J \rangle^{-1},$$

By choice of $\mathcal{L}$ we get with (2.3)–(2.5) that

$$\|P(f)\|_p \leq 2C_p \|Q(f)\|_p \leq 2C_p \|f\|_p.$$

We will verify next that for $g \in Y_p$,

$$\|PTg - g\|_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \|g\|_p. \tag{4.15}$$

To this end fix $I \in \mathcal{L}$ and expand $PT(b_I)$ as

$$PT(b_I) = b_I + \sum_{\{J \in \mathcal{L}: J \neq I\}} \langle Tb_J, b_I \rangle b_J \langle Tb_J, b_J \rangle^{-1}.$$

Next let $g \in Y_p$, hence $g = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{L}} c_I b_I$. Put

$$\underline{(J)} = \sum_{\{I \in \mathcal{L}: J \neq I\}} c_I \langle Tb_J, b_I \rangle$$

and define the error term

$$e = \sum_{\{J \in \mathcal{L}\}} \underline{(J)} b_J \langle Tb_J, b_J \rangle^{-1}.$$

Then, by arithmetic we get

$$PTg = g + e.$$

Since $\underline{(J)} \leq C_p^{-1} |J|^4 \|g\|_p$, and $|\langle Tb_J, b_J \rangle| \geq \|b_J\|_2^2/2$, whenever $J \in \mathcal{L}$, we obtain with triangle inequality, the following estimate for the off-diagonal term,

$$\|e\|_p \leq \|g\|_p/2,$$

which gives (4.15). ■

Appendix: Reviewing restricted invertibility. A proof of Theorem 4.2 follows directly from the random methods of J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri [3] on restricted invertibility of matrices.

Theorem 4.5 *For any $1 < p < \infty$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $N = N(n, p)$ so that for any linear operator $T : \ell_N^p \rightarrow \ell_N^p$ the identity on ℓ_n^p factors through $H = T$ or $H = \text{Id}_{\ell_N^p} - T$, as*

$$\text{Id}_{\ell_n^p} = PHE$$

where $E : \ell_n^p \rightarrow \ell_N^p$ and $P : \ell_N^p \rightarrow \ell_n^p$ are bounded linear operators satisfying

$$\|E\| \cdot \|P\| \leq C.$$

PROOF. The operator T has matrix representation with respect to $\{e_j : j \leq n\}$, the unit vector basis of ℓ_N^p . Define $\mathcal{L} = \{i \leq n : |(Te_i, e_i)| \geq 1/2\}$ and $\mathcal{R} = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \mathcal{L}$. Thus the cardinality of $\mathcal{L}$ or $\mathcal{R}$ is at least $N/2$. Assume without loss of generality that $|\mathcal{L}| \geq N/2$. (Otherwise replace T by $\text{Id} - T$ and proceed as below.) Put $N_1 = |\{1, \dots, N\} \cap \mathcal{L}|$. Clearly, there exist now linear operators $E_1 : \ell_N^p \rightarrow \ell_{N_1}^p$ and $P_1 : \ell_{N_1}^p \rightarrow \ell_N^p$, and an operator $S : \ell_{N_1}^p \rightarrow \ell_{N_1}^p$ satisfying the following conditions: First, S factors through T as

$$S = P_1 T E_1, \quad \text{and} \quad \|E_1\| \cdot \|P_1\| \leq C$$

and, second,

$$(S e_i, e_i) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq N_1.$$

Now we apply the theorem of J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri [3] on restricted invertibility to the operator $S : \ell_{N_1}^p \rightarrow \ell_{N_1}^p$. To each given $\epsilon > 0$ it yields the existence of a constant $C = C(\epsilon, p)$

and subset $\sigma \subseteq \{1, \dots, N_1\}$ so that $|\sigma| \geq N_1/C$ and $R_\sigma S R_s$ is invertible (on its range) and satisfies

$$\|R_\sigma S R_s\|_p \leq 1 + \epsilon.$$

(Here R_σ denotes the operator of restriction to basis vectors $\{e_j : j \in \sigma\}$.) Now put $N_2 = |\{1, \dots, N_1\} \cap \sigma|$. By restricted invertibility there are now operators $E_2 : \ell_{N_2}^p \rightarrow \ell_{N_1}^p$ and $P_1 : \ell_{N_1}^p \rightarrow \ell_{N_2}^p$, so that the identity on $\ell_{N_2}^p$ factors through S as

$$\text{Id}_{\ell_{N_2}^p} = P_2 S E_2 \quad \text{with} \quad \|E_1\| \cdot \|P_1\| \leq 2.$$

In summary, we obtained the factorization through T ,

$$\text{Id}_{\ell_{N_2}^p} = P_2 P_1 T E_1 E_2 \quad \text{with} \quad N_2 \geq N/2C.$$

References

- [1] S. V. Bochkarev. Construction of polynomial bases in finite-dimensional spaces of functions analytic in the disk. *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.*, 164:49–74, 1983. Orthogonal series and approximations of functions.
- [2] J. Bourgain. On the primarity of H^∞ -spaces. *Israel J. Math.*, 45(4):329–336, 1983.
- [3] J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri. Invertibility of “large” submatrices with applications to the geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis. *Israel J. Math.*, 57(2):137–224, 1987.
- [4] B. Maurey. Isomorphismes entre espaces H^1 . *Acta Math.*, 145(1-2):79–120, 1980.
- [5] P. F. X. Müller. On projections in H^1 and BMO. *Studia Math.*, 89(2):145–158, 1988.
- [6] P. F. X. Müller. *Isomorphisms between H^1 spaces*, volume 66 of *Mathematics Institute of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematical Monographs (New Series)*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2005.
- [7] E. Szemerédi. On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression. *Acta Arith.*, 27:199–245, 1975. Collection of articles in memory of Juri Vladimirovic Linnik.
- [8] H. M. Wark. The l^∞ direct sum of L^p ($1 < p < \infty$) is primary. *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 75(1):176–186, 2007.
- [9] P. Wojtaszczyk. Decompositions of H^p spaces. *Duke Math. J.*, 46(3):635–644, 1979.
- [10] P. Wojtaszczyk. On projections in spaces of bounded analytic functions with applications. *Studia Math.*, 65(2):147–173, 1979.
- [11] P. Wojtaszczyk. *Banach spaces for analysts*, volume 25 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [12] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1988. Reprint of the 1979 edition.

Address: Institut für Analysis
J. Kepler Universität
A-4040 Linz
Austria.
pfxm@bayou.uni-linz.ac.at